



7600004

WHIE UNIVERD SWAYES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company

Talkereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, Therefore, this certificate of plant variety protection is to grant unto the said applicant(s) and the successors, heirs or assigns of the said applicant(s) for the term of seventeen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different tety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act. United States seed of this variety (1) shall be sold by variety name only as of certified seed and (2) shall conform to the number of generations y the owner of the rights. (84 stat. 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

TOBACCO

'Coker 86'

In Testimony Manereot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington this 27th day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and seventy-six

Commissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Grain Division
Agricultural Marketing Service

Secretary of Agriculture

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 40-R3712

APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

1. VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	2. KIND NAME		FOR OFFIC	IAL USE ONLY	
Coker 86	Tobacco		PVPO NUMBER	ООЦ	
9. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	4. FAMILY NAME (Bo		1600	TIME	
Nicotiana tabacum	Solanacea		8-20-75	9:00	A.M.
	5. DATE OF DETERM 1971	AINATION	\$ 750.00	CHARGES	
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	7. ADDRESS (Street & Code)	nd No. or R.F.D. No., (ity, State, and ZIP	6. TELEPHONE	
Coker's Pedigreed Seed	P. O. Box	340		CODE AND N	UMBER
Company		e, S. C. 295	550	803-332-	8151
				,	
9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PER	SON, FORM OF	10. STATE OF INCOR	PORATION	11. DATE OF IN	COP-
ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partnership, a	sesociation, etc.)			PORATION	COR-
Corporation		South Ca		June 12,	1918
^{2.} Name and mailing address of applica Dr. C. R. Miller	int representative(s), if any, to serve i	n this application a	nd receive all p	apers:
Tobacco Department					
Coker's Pedigreed Seed	Company				
P. O. Box 340	p 444)				
Hartsville, S. C. 29550					
3. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACHM	PMT ALLENGE				
THE STATE OF THE EACH ATTACHN	ENI SUBMITIED:	.*			
🔀 12A. Exhibit A, Origin and Breed	ling History of the	Variety (See Section	2 52. P.I 91-577)		
X 128. Exhibit B, Botanical Descri	iption of the Variet	y			
X 12c. Exhibit C, Objective Descri	prion of the Variety				
22	prion of the variety	,	•		
X 120. Exhibit D, Data Indicative of	of Novelty				
TTI 10= Embilia E Secondo de la	.				
X 12E. Exhibit E, Statement of the	Basis of Applicant	's Ownership			
The applicant declares that a viable sa	mple of basic seed	of this variety will	be deposited upon r	equest before i	984-
ance of a ceffificate and will be replen	ished periodically i	in accordance with	such regulations as	may be applica	ble.
See Section)2, P.L. 91-5//).				,	
(See Section 83(a), P.L. 91-577) (If	seed of this variety ''Yes.'' answer 14	be sold by variety.	name only as a clas	s of certified s	ced?
148 Does the applicant(s) specify that t	this variety be		4B, how many gener	ations of produ	ction
limited as to number of generations	?	beyond breeder	rseed? Registe	ered (1 ve	ar)
	X YES NO	Lertifled	(1 year)	1	
Applicant is informed that false represe	ntation herein can	jeopardize protectio	n and result in pena	lties.	
The undersigned applicant(s) of this se	xually-reproduced n	ovel blant mariety b	aliones that the	into in dicki	
niform, and stable as required in Section	on 41 and is entitle	d to protection unde	eneves tout the var er the provisions of	rery is distinct, Section 42 of +1	10
Plant Variety Protection Act (P.L. 91-5	77).				
A. 1 127-		-/ a h	/m'	`	•
Mark) 41/3		corol ()	11 feller	<u>) </u>	_
0		Dr. Carol	NATURE OF APPLICAN R. Miller	TI	
		Director,	Tobacco Bree	ding and H	Resea
(DATE)			NATURE OF APPLICAN	_	-

.*	
Exhibit A.	ORIGIN AND BREEDING HISTORY OF COKER 86 TOBACCO
Year	
1961	Cross of Beltsville 61-10 and a selection from a cross between Coker 139 and Hicks that was released two years later as Coker 319.
1961-62	${ t F}_1$ plants grown in Florida winter breeding nursery.
1962	F ₂ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1963	F ₃ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1964	F_4 progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1965	F ₅ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1965	Cross of Coker 258 and F5 selection of the above cross (Beltsville 61-10xCoker 319)
1965-66	F_1 plants grown in Florida winter breeding nursery.
1966	F ₂ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1966-67	$F_{\rm 3}$ progeny rows reselected in Florida winter breeding nursery.
1967	F ₄ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1968	F ₅ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1968	Cross of F_5 selection of above cross (Coker 258x(Belts. 61-10xCoker 319) with 175 LaPrade.
1968-69	F_1 plants grown in Florida winter breeding nursery.
1969	F ₂ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1969-70	F_3 progeny rows reselected in Florida winter breeding nursery.
1970	F ₄ progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1971	F_{5} progeny rows reselected in summer breeding nursery.
1972	F ₆ progeny rows tested in Advanced Strains-Variety Test and Regi o nal Small Plot Tests as Coker 71-86MM.
1973	F7 progeny rows tested in Advanced Strains-Variety Test and nurseries and a small seed increase was carried out.
1974	F ₈ progeny rows Were tested in the Advanced Strains-Variety Test and both the Regional Small Plot and Regional Farm Tests. A seed increase field was planted from bulked seed from a selected F ₈ progeny row and the resulting F ₉ seed were harvested.

were harvested.

Page 2: Origin and Breeding History of Coker 86 Tobacco

Throughout the development of Coker 86, each generation of each progeny row was grown from seed of an individual plant selection.

The breeding nurseries in which this variety was produced are severely infested with either the black shank, Granville (bacterial) wilt, and/or root knot organisms. Leaves were harvested, identified, cured and graded, and chemically analyzed from every plant progeny row throughout the entire development period. Fusarium wilt inoculation tests were also conducted in the greenhouse during the same winters plants were grown in South Florida near Homestead.

Variants: No variants have been observed throughout the regional testing and increase period.

Exidence of stability: Coker 86 has remained phenotypically stable throughout its advanced testing and increase period. Progeny rows from the variety are of the same phenotype, maturity, height, yield and quality, and exhibit the same disease resistance reactions.

7600004

Exhibit 12B. Botanical Description of the Variety.

Coker 86 has a medium-broad leaf similar to Coker 258 in both size and surface texture. It differs from Coker 258 in that the leaf is more channeled and the midrib is slightly curved in younger leaves but tends to straighten and simultaneously arch as the leaf matures. The veins originating directly from the midrib tend to be unevenly spaced producing slight evaginations of the leaf surface in one or more areas of the leaf.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company	Coker 86
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)	CON OFFICIAL LIGE ONLY
P. O. Box 340	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER
Hartsville, S. C. 29550	
	7600004
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal cl Place a zero in first box (e.g. 089 or 09 v	naracter in the boxes below. when number is either 99 or less or 9 or less.
1. CLASS:	D 4= CIGAR FILLER 5= CIGAR BINDER 6= CIGAR WRAPPER
1 = FLUE-CURED 2 = FIRE-CURED 3 = AIR-CURE 7 = MISCELLANEOUS-DOMESTIC 8 = FOREIGN	THE STATE OF THE S
AIR-CURED: 1 = BURLEY 2 = MARY	LAND 3 = DARK AIR-CURED
STA	NDARD VARIETIES
01 = NC 95	04 = HICKS 05 = SPEIGHT G-28 06 = SC 58
07 = Ky 151 08 = BURLEY 21 09 = BURLEY 49	10 = Ky 10 11 = MARYLAND 609 12 = Ky 165
13 = Pennbel 69 14 = HAVANA 503 15 = FLORIDA 17	16 = OTHER
2. MATURITY (Transplant to 50% plants 1 Fl.) (Select code from S	Standard Varieties listed above)
0 5 9 NO. OF DAYS	DAYS EARLIER THAN
•	0 5 DAYS LATER THAN 0 2
3. SEEDING TO TRANSPLANTING (Select code from Standard Va	rieties listed above)
· ·	
NO. OF DAYS	DAYS EARLIER THAN
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DAYS LATER THAN
4. PLANT HEIGHT (After topping) (Select code from Standard Var	ieties listed above)
111 1 CM TALL	CM SHORTER THAN
TIT I	OM SHOW EN THE THE
	0 7 CM TALLER THAN 0 2
5. LEAF SIZE (At leaf maturity) (Select code from Standard Variet	ies listed above)
6 5 6 CM 5TH LEAF 6 8 0	Sth CM WXXLEAF CM 15TH LEAF
6 0 CM SHORTER THAN 0 2 5 5 CM	SHORTER THAN : 0 2 CM SHORTER THAN .
2 3 CM LONGER THAN 0 1 2 8 CM	LONGER THAN 0 1 CM LONGER THAN
WIDTH .	 ,
2 8 0 CM 5TH LEAF 2 9 2	8th cm were leaf
2 8 CM NARROWER THAN 0 1 2 4 CM	NARROWER THAN 0 2 CM NARROWER THAN
0 1 CM WIDER THAN 0 2 CM	WIDER THAN CM WIDER THAN
6. LEAF YIELD (Select code from Standard Varieties listed above)	
3 0 3 2 KG/HA % LESS THAN .	1 6 % MORE THAN 0 2
	-1-1-1 -1-1

Exhibit D. Data Indicative of Novelty

Summary Novelty Statement: Coker 86 differs from most other flue-cured tobacco varieties by the fact that it (Coker 86) is resistant to tobacco mosaic virus (TMV). Only three other varieties, namely Va. 770, SC 71 and SC 72, exhibit resistance to TMV.

Coker 86 can be most readily distinguished from the above three varieties by the following characteristics. Coker 86 has high resistance to black shank, Fusarium wilt and bacterial wilt whereas Va. 770 has only low resistance to black shank and Fusarium wilt and moderate resistance to bacterial wilt (Table 1).

Coker 86 differs most obviously from SC 71 by the fact that SC 71 is susceptible to root-knot nematodes (meloidgyne incognita) whereas Coker 86 is resistant to the above nematode disease (Table 1).

The differences between the disease resistance reactions of Coker 86 and SC 72 are not quite as obvious as in the two above comparisons since S. C. 72 is moderatly resistant to black shank and has a similar degree of resistance to the other major diseases as does Coker 86. However, Coker 86 can be easily distinguished from SC 72 by the fact that Coker 86 yields on the average 166 pounds more per acre, blooms two days later, and exhibits a value index of 1.35 lower than SC 72 (significant at the .05, and .01 levels, respectively). In addition, Coker 86 is on the average three inches (7.62 cm) taller than SC 72 (significant at the .01 level) (Table 2).

(Tobacco Application No. 7600004 - "Coker 86")

Exhibit D. Data Indicative of Novelty (Revised 4/14/76)

SUMMARY NOVELTY STATEMENT: Coker 86 clearly differs from all other flue-cured tobacco varieties except Va. 770, SC 71, and SC 72 by the fact that Coker 86 is resistant to tobacco mosaic virus. Coker 86 is distinguished from Va. 770 since Coker 86 has high resistance to blackshank, Fusarium wilt and Granville wilt whereas Va. 770 has only low resistance to both blackshank and Fusarium wilt and moderate resistance to Granville wilt.

Coker 86 differs most obviously from SC 71 by the fact that Coker 86 is resistant to the root=knot nematode Meliodogyne incoynita whereas SC 71 is susceptible.

Coker 86 differs from SC 72 in that Coker 86 has high resistance to blackshank whereas SC 72 has moderate resistance. In addition, Coker 86 blooms two days later (significant at .05 level) and averages three inches taller (significant at .01 level) than SC 72.

Table 1. Disease Resistance Ratings for the Flue-Cured Tobacco Varieties Exhibiting Resistance to Tobacco Mosaic Virus.

	Black	Fusarium	Bacterial	Rootknot
	Shank	Wilt	Wilt	Nematode
Coker 86	High	High	High	Resistant
Va. 770	Low	Low	Mod.	Resist an t
SC 71	Mod.	Mod.	Low	Susceptible
SC 72	Mod.	High	High	Resistant

Table 2. Comparison of Two Varieties for Certain Characteristics *.

Variety	Yield	Value Index	Days to	Height
	Lbs/A	Dollar/CWT	Flower	of Plant
Coker 86	2864	95.52	65	41
SC 72	2698	96.87	63	38
LSD (.05)	172	1.00	2 3	2
(.01)	227	1.32		2

^{*} Data from five locations.



Exhibit 12D. Data Indicative of Novelty

Table 1. Tobacco Variety-Strains Test. 1972 and 1974. Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company Hartsville, South Carolinal 1974

-		e Support			
	Dollar	Price	Days	Plant	Leaves
	per	per	to	Height	per
	Acre	CWT	Flower	Inches	Plant
	\$1759.85	85.76	56	39.3	20.4
	1823.37	82.92	51	37.3	16.5
	1836.99	87.98	56	38.4	19.7
2547	2225.82	87.39	6.2	43.0	20.8
			7.7		
		72			
	1953.80	82.61	53	36.7	17.7
	1886.20	83.20	51	35.4	15.9
	1849.60	80.84	53	39.4	16.8
2532	2063.40	81.49	52	41.0	18.0
2826	2291.60	81.09	56	43.3	20.6
	·	Pounds per Acre Acre 2052 \$1759.85 2199 1823.37 2088 1836.99 2547 2225.82 195 2365 1953.80 2267 1886.20 2288 1849.60 2532 2063.40	Pounds per Acre Dollar per per per Acre Price CWT 2052 \$1759.85 85.76 2199 1823.37 82.92 2088 1836.99 87.98 2547 2225.82 87.39 1972 2365 1953.80 82.61 2267 1886.20 83.20 2288 1849.60 80.84 2532 2063.40 81.49	Pounds per Acre Dollar per per to per Lower Days to per Lower 2052 \$1759.85 85.76 56 2199 1823.37 82.92 51 2088 1836.99 87.98 56 2547 2225.82 87.39 62 1972 2365 1953.80 82.61 53 2267 1886.20 83.20 51 2288 1849.60 80.84 53 2532 2063.40 81.49 52	Pounds per Acre Dollar per per per Louver Inches 2052 \$1759.85 85.76 56 39.3 2199 1823.37 82.92 51 37.3 2088 1836.99 87.98 56 38.4 2547 2225.82 87.39 62 43.0 1972 2365 1953.80 82.61 53 36.7 2267 1886.20 83.20 51 35.4 2288 1849.60 80.84 53 39.4 2532 2063.40 81.49 52 41.0

¹Replicated 3 times at each of two locations.

Table 2. Disease Resistance Ratings for Several Flue-Cured Tobacco Varieties.

Variety	Black Shank	Fusarium Wilt	Bacterial Wilt	Rootknot Nematodes	Tobacco Mosaic Virus
Coker 319	Low	Low	Low	Susceptible	Susceptible
NC 2326	${\tt Mod}$.	Low	Susc.	Susceptible	Susceptible
NC 95	Mod.	High	High	Resistant	Susceptible
SC 71	Mod.	Mod.	Low	Susceptible	Resistant
SC 72	Mod.	High	High	Resistant	Resistant
VA 770	Low	Low	Mo d .	Resistant	Resistant
Coker 86	High	High	High	Resistant	Resistant

Coker 86 is a high yielding, late maturing variety that is resistant to tobacco mosaic virus, black shank, Fusarium wilt, bacterial wilt and rootknot nematodes. It can be distinguished from the only other three mosaic resistant varieties by its higher yield, late maturity, the Coker 258-type texture of the leaf surface and generally higher disease resistance.

^{*}Resistant to tobacco mosaic virus.

(Tobacco Application No. 7600004 - "Coker 86")

Appendix - Exhibit D. (Revised 4/13/76)

Table 1. Disease Resistance Ratings for Flue-Cured Tobacco Varieties Exhibiting Resistance to Tobacco Mosaic Virus.

Variety	Black	Fusarium	Granville	Rootknot
	Shank	Wilt	Wilt	Nematode
Coker 86 1)	High	High	High	Resistant
Va. 770 2)	Low	Low	Mod.	Resistant
SC 71 2)	Mod.	Mod.	Low	Susceptible
SC 72 3)	Mod.	High	High	Resistant

- 1) Based on two years data. See Table 18 on page 2 of Appendix. (Machine copy of page 22 of 1976 Tobacco Information. North Carolina Agricultural Extension Service, Misc. Ext. Publication No. 152.)
- 2) Most Recent Test. See Table 15 on page 3 of Appendix. (Machine Copy of page 17 of Tobacco Information for 1973, North Carolina Agricultural Extension Service, Misc. Ext. Publication No. 90.)
- 3) Most Recent Tests. See Tables 18 and 14 on pages 4 and 5 of Appendix. (Machine copies of page 19 of Tobacco Information for 1974, and page 19 of 1975 Tobacco Information, N.C. Agricultural Extension Service, Misc. Ext. Publication Nos. 108 and 126, respectively.)

Table 2. Comparison of two Varieties for Certain Characteristics for Five Locations in 1974. *

Variety	Days to Flower	Height of Plant
Coker 86 SC 72	65 63	41 inches 38 inches
LSD (.05) (.01)	2 3	2 2

^{*} Measured Crop Preformance - Tobacco. 1974. N.C. State University, Research Report No. 53, page 22. (Coker 71-86MM Coker 86)

VARIETY INFORMATION

Variety Selection

Selecting the variety or varieties to best fit a grower's situation is an important part of growing a good crop of tobacco. A grower should give major consideration to the following characteristics when making his decision:

- Disease resistance needed.
- 2. Yield potential.
- 3. Quality of cured leaf.
- 4. Number of ground suckers.
- 5. General handling characteristics.

Growers should be cautious of selecting any variety with which they have had no experience. A new variety should be planted on a limited scale the first year it is available.

Table 18. Combined Data of the 1974-75 Official Tobacco Variety Tests Conducted by The N. C. Agricultural Experiment Station. $\underline{1}/$

Variety	Release <u>Date</u>	Yield lbs/A	Value _\$/A	1975 ² / Quality Index	Res BS	istance <u>GW</u>	/ 197. <u>RK</u>	5 Rating B. Spot
NC 2326	1965	2768	2797	50	M	Su	Su	MT
NC 95	1961	2749	2744	48	M	H	R	T
<u> *Coker 86</u>	1974	2988	2936	41	<u>H</u> .	H	<u>R</u>	Se
Coker 254	1967	2751	2759	50	M	H	R	Se
Coker 258	1966	2792	2742	43	H	H	R	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}$
Coker 298	1965	2769	2738	46	H	H	Su	VS
Coker 319	1963	2664	2691	52	L	L	Su	Se
Coker 347	1969	2969	2981	48	M	M	R	Se
Coker 411	1969	2874	2901	52	H	L	Su	VS
McNair 944	1972	2944	2969	49	Н	L	Su	VS
McNair 1040	1973	2797	2828	52	M	L	Su	VS
*NC 12	1974	2760	2776	45	M	М	Su	Se
*NC 79	1973	2909	2948	50	M	L	R	MT
NC 88	1971	2862	2875	50	M	M	R	T
*NC 98	1974	2674	2681	49	M	M	R	MT
Speight G-15	1972	2589	2610	51	M	M	R	T
*Speight G-23		2786	2774	46	M	H	R	${f T}$
Speight G-28	1969	2711	2702	47	\mathbf{H}	H	R	${f T}$
Speight G-33	1970	2910	2866	44	M	M	R	T
Speight G-140	0 1971	3052	3061	48	H	M	\mathbf{Su}	VS
Va. 115	1965	2900	2904	48	M	L	Su	MT

*New variety available for grower planting in 1976.

^{1/} Conducted by John C. Rice, Glenn Hayes, and Ernest Price, Crop Science Department. 2/ Quality Index based on numerical quality rating based on government grade.

^{3/} Description rating applied specifically to North Carolina. H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low; Su = Susceptible; T = Tolerant; Se= Sensitive; M.T. = Moderately Tolerant; V.S. = Very Sensitive; BS = Black Shank; GW = Granville Wilt; FW = Fusariam Wilt Complex; RK = Root Knot; B. Spot = Brown Spot.

Table 15. Combined data of the 1971-1972 official tobacco variety tests conducted by the N. C. Agricultural Experiment Station
Whiteville, Rocky Mount, Kinston and Reidsville

						2			æ.	Resistance 2	e ² /1	972 Ratin	DR .
	Vield.	Value	Price 1/	Suckers	Per Plant	leaves	Nic.	Sugar				Root	Brown
Varieties	1bs/A	\$/A	\$/cwt.	Ground	Leaf Ax11	per plant	3-9	3-6	BS	С₩	퓓	Knot	Spot
NC 2326	990 5	1805	81 94	1.2	25.1	17.5	3,37	15.96	3	Ľ	3	S	Mod. Tol.
MU 42.	2354	1920	81.68	2.60	23.8	18.8	3.52	15.30	×	×	×	æ	Mod. Tol.
Rel1 110	2287	1853	81.14	2.0	22.8	18.8	3.41	15.74	z	H	×	70	Mod, Tol.
Coker 254	2477	2017	81,49	3.6	23.5	20.8	3,31	14.49	Ħ	æ	S	Þ	Sen.
Coker 298	2463	1988	80.71	1.6	19.1	20.0	3,46	. 15.20	æ	I	co	çs	V. Sen.
Coker 319	2373	1937	81.73	2.3	26.6	19.5	3.05	15.34	Ľ	L	۲	¢х	Sen.
Coker 347	2592	2119	81.82	2.2	26.1	20.2	3.10	14.73	:E	3	3	×	Mod. Tol.
	2435	1983	81.49	1.4	22.3	18.8	2.99	15.11	æ	٢	ţ	CS.	V. Sen.
McNair 30	2220	1807	81.48	2,5	26.6	17.4	3.55	14.55	33	· co	r	n to	Sen.
	2357	1921	81.56	3.2	23.3	19.0	3.26	15.19	; <u>z</u>	• =	1 (7)	, Ç	v. sen.
McNair 135	2483	2016	81,33	1.9	22.7	20.7	222	16.28	3	: -	٠ -	, 0	v. sen.
*NC 88	2497	2044	81.97	3.4	24.1	18.5	3.69	14.52		3	: 1-	× ×	Tol.
SC 71	2388	1916	80.27	2,4	23.4	19.6	3.53	15.83	3	-	3		Sen.
*SC 72	2435	1977	81.22	2.0	21.8	19.1	3.53	15.85	۳.	' '33	. [-	סלי	Sen.
Speight G-7	2545	2070	81.44	3.1	24.0	19.6	3,44	15.99	×	F	· co	· v	Sen.
	2316	1874	80.95	1.6	22.8	18.9	2.94	14.15	þ	Ξ.	; t-	· ×	101.
	2496	2034	81.52	1.8	24.0	20.3	3.04	16.99	н	Ħ	3	75	Tol.
Speight G-41	2406	1947	80.99	1.6	21.0	19.4	3.39	15.64	3	Ξ	3	, pc	Tol.
	2656	2161	81.42	2.1	21.5	20.4	3.21	16.94	: 33	· 🗷	2	v	ven.
115	2374	1923	81.15	1.8	22.9	18.6	3.37	16.38	· 🗷	(c	· cr	3 C/	Mod. Tol.
Va. 770	2159	1731	80.09	5.0	28.3	17.5	3.36	14.4/	ŀ	2	1	×	Hou, Lor.
												1	•

1/ Price is based on average market price by grade. 2/ The descriptive rating applied specifically to North Carolina. H = High resistance; M = Moderate; L = Low; S = Susceptible; Tol. = Tolerant; Sen. = Sensitive; MS = Blackshank; GW = Granville Wilt; FW = Fusarium Wilt.

Table 16. Results of Official Tobacco Variety Test - At Individual Locations 1972

	/				/				/		/		
	≅/	tevill	'n	R.	ocky Moo	20.0		Kinston		Re-	Reids ille	l e	
arieties	Yield	Vue	Price	Yield.	ld Value	Kice	Yield	Value	Price	Yield	Value	Price	
ii 2826	2566	2188	85.26	2140	1785	83.46	2208	1868	84.59	1600	1351	8444	
1C 95/	2628	2213	9 2 23	2080	1729	83.10	2364	1983	83.89	Z 02	1602	84.22	
tell 110/	2608	2194	84.5	2058	1700	82.61	\ 2568	2170	84.48	160	1403	84.18	′.
loker 254 /	2884	2422	83.97	2302	1910	82.99	2566	2162	84.25	2054	1736	84.54	
oker 298	2844	2381	83.74	2540	2111	83.13	227	1869	82.11	1984	1651	83.21	
oker 319	Ž	2308	84.40	×228	1872	84.01	2252	1885	83.69	1968	669	84.80	
oker 347	300/	2521	83.92	24%	2009	83.00	2598	2197	84.58	2134	1889	84.75	
Ker 411	2662	2258	84.82	2276	1914	84.09	2500	2 0 27	83.86	2074	1760	84.87	
icnadr 30	2560	Z 3	84.72	2066	1 706	82.58	2146	1805	84.11	1958	1647 /	84.10	
(cNair 133	2626	2211	84.18	2318	19/2	82.46	2356	1965	A3.39	1826	1545	.56	
icNair 185	2934	2466	84.06	2380	1997	83.93	2336	1940	83/ ₂ 4	1996	1679	84 09	
70 88 /	2670	2245	84.07	2268	1866	82.20	2424	2039	84.17	2048	1723	84.14	
66 71 /	2860	2375	83. 2	2176	1805	%.96	2402	2017	83.98	1886	1562	82.8	
IC 72	2774	2331	84.03	2240	1841	82/22	2456	2065	84.07	3046	1730	84.55	-
peight G-7	974	2475	83.21	2364	1970	83.3	2528	2126	84.05	2860	1737	84.32	_
	26%	2220	83.92	224	1747	82.24	2352	1964	83.50	182	1536	84.28	/
	2912	2449	84.08	250	2091	83.60	2 536	2124	83.75	1800	1513	84 06	/
peight G-41	2650	2229	84.13	2512	Z048	81.50	21/86	2090	84.06	1738	1459	83,82	_
	2998	2516	83,93	2520	2 0 23	82.27	25 1 ⊀	2107	83.80	2256	05	14.41	
a. 115	2582	2189	84.38	2180	181	83.01	2416	2039	84.38	1784	148	83.06	
a. 7%	2576	2152	83.62	1982	1644	82.97	2232	1851	82,93	1948	1632	83./8	

* New varieties available for grower planting in 1972.

Tests conducted by John C. Rice, DeWitt T. Gooden and Ernast Price, Crop Stience Department, N. C. State University.

۲τ

Page 4 - appearling

REPORT ON NEW VARIETIES

The varieties listed below have been tested for several years. They have met the standards of the Flue-Cured Tobacco Variety Evaluation Committee and were released in 1972. Seed were increased during 1973 and will be available for the 1974 season. The following is a brief description of these varieties. Disease resistance data may be found in the disease section of this publication. Growers should be cautious about selecting a new variety with which they have had no should plant only a portion of their crop with the new variety.

McNair 944 was developed from a cross of (Speight G-10 x McNair 30). It produced a high yield of high quality tobacco on the basis of government grades. The variety produced a high percentage of orange colored leaf which was medium in body and texture with a medium nicotine content. The plants grew medium tall with a medium number of leaves which were of medium length and width. There was a low number of ground suckers.

Va. 080 was developed from a cross of (NC 95 x Burley 49). It produced a medium to low yield of high quality tobacco on the basis of government grades. The variety produced a high percentage of orange colored leaf which was medium to thin in body and medium texture, with a medium to high nicotine content. The plants grew medium tall with a medium number of leaves which were of medium length and width. There was a medium number of ground suckers.

Table 18. Combined Data of the 1972-73 Official Tobacco Variety Tests Conducted By the N. C. Agricultural Experiment Station.

	Yield	Value	Price <u>1</u> /		Resi	stance	$\frac{2}{1973}$ R	ating
<u>Varieties</u>	lbs/A	<u>\$/A</u>	\$/cwt.	<u>BS</u>	<u>GW</u>	FW	Root Knot	Brown Spot
NC 2326	2442	2105	86.20	M	Su.	L	Su.	M.T.
NC 95	2476	2124	85.78	M	H	H	R	T
Coker 254	2611	2240	85.79	M	Н	L	R	S
Coker 298	2597	2216	85.33	H	H	Su.	Su.	v.s.
Coker 319	2568	2210	86.06	Ĺ	L	L	Su.	S
Coker 347	2756	2359	85.60	M	M	H	R	M.T.
Coker 411	2656	2291	86.26	Н	L	Su.	Su.	V.S.
McNair 133	2444	2096	85.76	H	H	Su.	Su.	V.S.
McNair 135	2674	2287	85.53	M	L	Su.	Su.	v.s.
*McNair 944	2700	2313	85.67	H	L	Su.	Su.	v.s.
NC 88	2633	2261	85.87	M	М	H	R	T
<u>SC 72</u>	2553	21.87	85.66	<u>M</u>	H	H	R	s
Speight G-28	2547	2182	85.67	H	H	H	 R	T
Speight G-33	269 8	2311	85.66	M	H	H	R	$ar{ extbf{T}}$
Speight G-41	2524	2153	85.30	L	M	H	R	T .
Speight G-140	2845	2440	85.76	M	M	L	Su.	v.s.
*Va. 080	2417	2069	85.60	L	L.	H	R R	T T
Va. 115	2549	2184	85.68	M	L	Su.	Su.	М.Т.

^{1/} Price is based on market price by grade.

^{2/} The descriptive rating applied specifically to North Carolina. H = High; M = Moderate; L = Low; Su = Susceptible; T = Tolerant; S = Sensitive; M.T. = Moderately tolerant; V.S. = Very Sensitive; B.S. = Black Shank; G.W. = Granville Wilt; F.W. = Fusarium Wilt complex.

Exhibit 12E. Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership of Coker 86 Tobacco.

Coker 86 is owned by Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company. All breeding, developmental and testing of Coker 86 (other than that performed by the regional variety testing committee) was done on land owned or operated by Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company and under the direct supervision of personnel of the tobacco department of said company. All seed were also produced on land owned or operated by Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company, supervised by tobacco department personnel, and inspected and approved by the South Carolina Crop Improvement Association and the South Carolina Department of Agriculture.

ASSIGNMENT OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATES

WHEREAS, COKER'S PEDIGREED SEED COMPANY, a South Carolina corporation ("Coker's"), having its offices at 900 Darlington Highway, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550, has adopted and used and is the sole and exclusive owner of certain United States Plant Variety Protection Certificates and similar rights under laws of countries other than the United States as listed in Exhibit A hereto:

WHEREAS, COKER'S PEDIGREED SEED CO. and NORTHRUP KING CO., a Delaware corporation ("NK"), have entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement, dated July 20, 1988, providing for the purchase and sale of substantially all of the assets and business of Coker's and the assumption of certain of Coker's liabilities and obligations by NK; and

WHEREAS, NK desires to acquire the right, title and interest in, to and under the Plant Variety Protection Certificates listed on Exhibit A hereto and the pending applications hereto (collectively, the "Plant Variety Protection Certificates").

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, Coker's hereby sells, assigns, transfers and sets over to NK the Plant Variety Protection Certificates. Coker's further agrees, at no cost to it, to execute and deliver to NK, upon the request of NK, any further instrument of assignment that may be necessary to effectuate the transfer of each Plant Variety Protection Certificate.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Coker's has caused this instrument to be executed by its duly authorized representative as of the 20th day of July, 1988.

COKER'S PEDIGREED SEED COMPANY

By:
E. Joe Dahmer
President

STATE OF MINNESOTA)

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)

On this and day of July, 1988, before me, a Notary Public in and for the County aforesaid, the undersigned officer, E. Joe Dahmer, personally appeared and acknowledged himself to be the President of Coker's Pedigreed Seed Co., and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein.

WITNESS my hand and seal this 20th day of July, 1988.

TIMOTHY M. BANGASSER
NOTARY PUBLIC — MINNESOTA
HENNEPIN COUNTY
My Commission Expires May 22 1992

Motary Public

Winter Oat Varieties

Variety Name	U.S. Plant Variety Certificate Number	Issue Date	Term (Yrs.)	
Coker 227	7500007	Oct. 20, 1977	17	
Coker 716	7900003	Dec. 28, 1978	17	
Coker 820	8400059	June 30, 1987	18	
Coker 234	7500008	Oct. 26, 1977	17	
Four Twenty	y Two 7700085	Apr. 12, 1979	17	
Big Mac	8200121	Aug. 19, 1982	18	
Mesquite	8200122	Aug. 19, 1982	18	
	Tobacco V	<u>arieties</u>		
Coker 347	72022	Oct. 27, 1976	17	
Coker 411	72023	Oct. 27, 1976	17	
Coker 86	7600004	Oct. 27, 1976	17	
Coker 48	7800008	Sept. 20, 1978	17	
Coker 51	8100048	Feb. 18, 1982	18	
Coker 176	8300056	Sept. 29, 1983	18	
Coker 206	8500040	Apr. 30, 1986	18	
Coker 371	Gold 8700049	Sept. 30, 1987	18	
<u>Cotton Varieties</u>				
Coker 310	7100021	Jan. 18, 1974	17	
Coker 304	7700024	Dec. 21, 1978	17	
Coker 420	79 00087	Jan. 29, 1980	17	
Coker 315	8000087	Dec. 18, 1980	17	

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum)

I ODAÇCO (IVICOTIANA TADACUM)			
Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company	VARIETY NAME OF TEMPORARY DESIGNATION Coker 86		
ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)	- doker 50		
P. O. Box 340	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
Hartsville, S. C. 29550	PVPO NUMBER		
	7600004		
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character in the boxes below. Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 8 9 or 0 9 when number is either 99 or less	or 0 or less		
	or 9 or less.		
1 1 1 PEDELONED 2-1 MERCONED 0 ANTI-OUNCE	5 = CIGAR BINDER 6 = CIGAR WRAPPER 9 = FOREIGN-NON-CIGAR LEAF		
AIR-CURED: 1 = BURLEY 2 = MARYLAND 3 = DARK AIR-CUR	RED		
STANDARD VARIETIES			
01 = NC 95	T G-28 06 = SC 58		
07 = Ky 151 08 = BURLEY 21 09 = BURLEY 49 10 = Ky 10 11 = MARYL	AND 609 12 = Ky 165		
13 = Pennbel 69			
2. MATURITY (Transplant to 50% plants 1 Fl.) (Select code from Standard Varieties listed above)			
0 5 9 NO. OF DAYS	RTHAN		
0 5 DAYS LATER T	HAN 0 2		
3. SEEDING TO TRANSPLANTING (Select code from Standard Varieties listed above)			
NO. OF DAYS DAYS EARLIEF	RTHAN		
DAYS LATER T	HAN		
4. PLANT HEIGHT (After topping) (Select code from Standard Varieties listed above)			
1 1 1 CM TALL CM SHORTER T	HAN		
0 7 CM TALLER TH	IAN 0 2		
5. LEAF SIZE (At leaf maturity) (Select code from Standard Varieties listed above)			
6 5 6 CM STH LEAF 6 8 0 CM WXX LEAF	CM 15TH LEAF		
6 0 CM SHORTER THAN 0 2 5 5 CM SHORTER THAN ; 0 2	CM SHORTER THAN		
2 3 CM LONGER THAN 0 1 2 8 CM LONGER THAN 0 1	CM LONGER THAN		
<u>wipth</u>			
2 8 0 CM 5TH LEAF 2 9 2 8th CM 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	CM 15TH LEAF		
2 8 CM NARROWER THAN 0 1 2 4 CM NARROWER THAN 0 2	CM NARROWER THAN		
0 1 CM WIDER THAN 0 2 CM WIDER THAN	CM WIDER THAN		
6. LEAF YIELD (Select code from Standard Varieties listed above)			
3 0 3 2 KG/HA % LESS THAN 1 6	% MORE THAN		

CHARACTER	VARIETY	CHARACTER	VARIETY	
MATURITY	Coker 258	LEAF TIP SHAPE		
LEAF LENGTH	NC 95	VENATION PATTERN	Speight G-28	
LEAF WIDTH	NC 95	LEAF SURFACE	Coker 258	
LEAF CARRIAGE		LEAF MARGIN	Coker 258	
PETIOLE ANGLE		LEAF COLOR	Coker 258	
LEAF SHAPE	NC 95	PLANT FORM	Unique	

(DDM GD 470 24 /page 2)	Coker 86 7600004
ORM GR-470-31 (page 2) GROUPING:	STANDARD VARIETIES
01 = NC 95	04 = HICKS
07 = Ky 151	10 = Ky 10 11 = MARYLAND 609 12 = Ky 165
13 = Pennbel 69 14 = HAVANA 503 15 = FLORIDA 17	16 = OTHER
7. LEAF NUMBER (Select code from Standard Varieties listed abo	ove)
TOPPED NORMAL:	
2 0 8 NO. PER PLANT	
NO. OF LEAVES > 40.6 CM	CM HEIGHT OF LAST LEAF > 40.6 CM
NO. OF LEAVES OR NODES TO "CROWFOOD"	"FROM 1ST HARVESTABLE LEAF
B. INTERNODES (Topped) (Select code from Standard Varieties I	isted above)
5 2 MM LENGTH 0 5 MM SHORTER	THAN 0 2 MM LONGER THAN
9. LEAF CHARACTERISTICS:	
PETIOLE ANGLE:	
4 5 DEGREES 3 GROUPING: 1 = <	35° 2 = 35-45° 3 = 46-65° 4 = $>$ 65°
LEAF CARRIAGE	LEAF COLOR (At topping or when 50% of plants with 1 flower)
1 = ARCHED (DROOPING) 2 = HORIZONTAL 3 = UPRIGHT LEAF SHAPE:	1 = LIGHT GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = DARK GREEN 4 = YELLOW-GREEN 5 = YELLOW
1 = BROADER THAN LONG 2 = LENGTH EQUAL 3 = LONGER THAN BROAD	S WIDTH 1 = BROADEST AT MIDDLE 2 = BELOW MIDDLE 3 = ABOVE MIDDLE
TIP SHAPE	VENATION PATTERN:
2 1 = ACUTE 2 = ACUMINATE 3 = OBTUSE	E 2 1 = SQUARE 2 = ANGULAR
LEAF SURFACE	LEAF MARGIN
1 = SMOOTH (HICKS) 2 = PUCKERED (N	C 95) 2 1 = WAVY 2 = NOT WAVY 2 = NOT RECURVED
10. FLOWERS:	FLOWER HEAD HABIT:
COLOR: 1 = WHITE 2 = PINK	1 = CLOSED (NC 95) 2 = INTERMEDIATE
3 = RED 4 = OTHER	3 = OPEN (HICKS)
	= OTHER (Specify)
12. GROUND SUCKERS:	
0.5 NO. PER PLANT	
13. DISEASE (O = Not tested, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)	
2 BLACK SHANK (RACES) COMMON	2 FUSARIUM WILT (NICOTIANA)
BLACK ROOT ROT	0 FUSARIUM WILT (BATATAS)
0 BLUE MOLD	1 FROGEYE
0 WILDFIRE (SPECIES)	BROWN SPOT
0 BLACKFIRE	BACTERIAL WILT

AF PV# EQ 7300655,7300013

A Rects

Note of the sector of